



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,982	02/21/2006	Jeffery S. Bradley	63036A	8860
<div>109 7590 12/07/2007 THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION, P. O. BOX 1967 MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967</div>				
			<div>EXAMINER LU, C CAIXIA</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 1796</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 12/07/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10568982	2/21/06	BRADLEY ET AL.	63036A

THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION, P. O. BOX 1967
MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967

EXAMINER

Caixia Lu

ART UNIT PAPER

1796

20071205

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on November 30, 2007 are not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the supports in the specification for the amendments made to the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

MPEP 714.02 requires applicant's amendment must be fully responsive: "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MEEP 2163.06". Especially, applicant's attention is directed to the following section of MEEP 2163.06, "When an amendment is filed in reply to an objection or rejection based on 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, a study of the entire application is often necessary to determine whether or not "new matter" is involved. Applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure". Therefore, the supports should always be specifically indicated whenever the disclosure, including the claims, is amended

Applicant is urged to always indicate the supports for the amendments of the claims in applicant's response.

Caixia Lu
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1796